

Supplement 3| Summary evidence tables

Key question 1.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Musch (1995)	Cohort (prospective)	55	diuretic group (n=9), polydipsic group (n=3), saline responders group (n=8), saline nonresponders group (n=15)	normonatremic controls (n=20)	FEUA (20±7) was significantly higher in saline nonresponders compared to controls.
2	Musch (2001)	Cohort (prospective)	110	salt depletion (n=17), true SIADH (n=33), salt-depleted SIADH (n=6)	normonatremic controls (n=54)	FEUA was significantly higher in SIAD compared to controls.
3	Fenske (2008)	Cohort (prospective)	86	salt depletion (n=27), extracellular volume expansion (n=21), diuretics (n=7)	SIAD group (n=31)	In patients on diuretics, FEUA performed best (diagnose SIAD) compared with all other markers tested (area under the curve [AUC] 0.96; 0.92–1.12), resulting in a positive predictive value of 100% if a cutoff value of 12% was used.
4	Nigro (2018)	Cohort (prospective)	298	diuretic-induced (n=72), hypovolemic (n=59) hypervolemic hyponatremia (n=33), primary polydipsia (n=24), cortisol deficiency (n=4)	SIAD (n=106)	FEUA was higher in patients with SIAD compared to other hyponatremia etiologies (both p<0.0001). FEUA values >12% had a specificity of 96% and 77% to detect patients with SIAD. These results remained similar after excluding patients taking diuretics.
5	Bassi (2020)	Cohort (retrospective)	89	non-SIAD diuretic-induced hyponatremia group (n=47)	SIAD group (n=42)	FEUA discriminated better than serum UA between SIAD and diuretic-induced hyponatremia patients (AUC 0.96, p<0.001 vs. 0.88, p<0.001), while it was a poor marker to discriminate between SIAD and thiazide-induced hyponatremia (0.65, NS vs. 0.67, NS).

Key question 2.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Fenske (2009)	Cohort (prospective)	106	Copeptin/UNa (n=106)	Copeptin (n=106)	Copeptin measurement reliably identified patients with primary polydipsia but has limited utility in the differential diagnosis of other hyponatremic disorders. In contrast, the copeptin to U-Na ratio is superior to the reference standard in discriminating volume-depleted from normovolemic hyponatremic disorders.
2	Suryeong (2021)	Cohort (prospective)	100	Copeptin/UNa (n=100)	Copeptin (n=100)	Although there were no differences in copeptin levels among the five groups, the copeptin-to-UNa ratio differed significantly according to the cause of hyponatremia (p=0.001).

Key question 3.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Baek (2021)	Randomized controlled trial	178	Rapid intermittent bolus (RIB) (87) Moderate: 2mL/kg Severe: 4mL/kg	Slow continuous infusion (SCI) (91) Moderate: 0.5mL/kg/hr Severe: 1mL/kg/hr	Overcorrection occurred in 15 of 87 (17.2%) and 22 of 91 (24.2%) patients in the RIB and SCI groups, respectively (absolute risk difference, -6.9% [95% CI, -18.8% to 4.9%]; P =.26). The RIB group showed a lower incidence of relowering treatment than the SCI group (36 of 87 [41.4%] vs. 52 of 91 [57.1%] patients, respectively; absolute risk difference, -15.8% [95% CI, -30.3% to -1.3%]). Groups did not differ in terms of efficacy in increasing sNa concentrations nor improving symptoms, but RIB, when compared with SCI, showed better efficacy in achieving target correction rate within 1 hour (intention-to-treat analysis: 28 of 87 (32.2%) vs. 16 of 91 (17.6%) patients, respectively; absolute risk difference, 14.6% [95% CI, 2%-27.2%]; P =.02; per-protocol analysis: 21 of 72 (29.2%) vs. 12 of 73 (16.4%) patients, respectively; absolute risk difference, 12.7% [95% CI, -0.8% to 26.2%]; P =.07). The statistical significance of the intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses was similar for all outcomes except for achieving the target correction rate within 1 hour.

2	Garrahy (2019)	Cohort (prospective, historical control)	50	Rapid intermittent bolus (RIB) (22): 100 mL, repeated up to two more times	Slow continuous infusion (SCI) (28): 20 mL/hr	A 3% saline bolus caused more rapid elevation of pNa at 6 hours [median (range) 6 (2 to 11) vs. 3 (1 to 4) mmol/L, P < 0.0001], with a concomitant improvement in Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) [median (range) 3 (1 to 6) vs. 1 (-2 to 2), P < 0.0001] at 6 hours. Median pNa concentration was similar at 24 hours in the two treatment groups. The administration of a third saline bolus was associated with a greater need for dextrose/dDAVP to prevent overcorrection (OR 24; P = 0.006). There were no cases of osmotic demyelination in either group.
---	----------------	--	----	--	---	---

Key question 4.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Sushrut (2009)	Cohort (prospective)	92,846	Mild hyponatremia (n=10,469)	normonatremic controls (n=82,377)	The increased risk of death was evident even in those with mild hyponatremia (130-134 mmol/L; odds ratio 1.37, 95% CI, 1.23-1.52).
2	Doshi (2012)	Cohort (prospective)	2,996	Mild hyponatremia (n=1,235)	normonatremic controls (n=1,761)	A total of 283 (8.4%) deaths occurred during 90 days, and in the multivariate model, the respective HRs for 90-day mortality for mild, moderate, and severe hyponatremia were 2.04 (95% CI, 1.42-2.91; p<0.01); 4.74 (95% CI, 3.21-7.01; p<0.01), and 3.46 (95% CI, 1.05-11.44; p=0.04).
3	Kovesdy (2012)	Cohort (prospective)	639,475	Mild hyponatremia (n=83,126)	normonatremic controls (n=556,349)	Patients with serum sodium levels of 130, 130 to 135.9, 145.1 to 150, and 150 mmol/L compared with 136 to 145 mmol/L had multivariable-adjusted mortality hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) of 1.93 (1.83–2.03), 1.28 (1.26 –1.30), 1.33 (1.28 –1.38), and 1.56 (1.33–1.83) (p<0.001 for all).

Key question 5.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Jujo (2016)	RCT	60	tolvaptan (n=30)	furosemide (n=30)	Tolvaptan was associated with better preservation of renal function (no change in serum Cr vs. 20% elevation of serum Cr, worsening renal function: 33% vs. 6.7%, $p<0.01$) compared with furosemide in acute heart failure.
2	Tominaga (2017)	RCT	73	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=36)	furosemide (n=37)	Tolvaptan added to furosemide resulted in a greater sodium elevation at day 1 (2.19 vs. 0.18, $p<0.001$).
3	Tanaka (2018)	RCT	18	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=10)	furosemide (n=8)	Adding tolvaptan had no effect on elevated plasma sodium compared with furosemide.
4	Matsue (2016)	RCT	217	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=108)	furosemide (n=109)	Adding tolvaptan in furosemide did not show any difference in renal function.
5	Shanmugam (2016)	RCT	51	tolvaptan (n=25)	placebo (n=26)	Tolvaptan was effective for reversing hyponatremia (the number of patients whose sodium elevate more than 5 mEq is more in tolvaptan than placebo, $p=0.001$) in acute heart failure.
6	Felker (2017)	RCT	257	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=129)	furosemide (n=128)	The addition of tolvaptan to furosemide elevated serum sodium (3.2 vs. 0.2 at 24h; 3.3 vs. -0.2 at 48h; 2.8 vs. -0.4 at 72h, $p < 0.001$) and increased worsening renal function at 72 hours (39 vs. 27, $p=0.037$) in acute heart failure.
7	Konstam (2017)	RCT	250	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=122)	furosemide (n=128)	The tolvaptan add-on group did not show any difference in mortality at day 30 (6 vs. 6, $p=0.972$) or renal function (eGFR: 44.9 vs. 44.2, $p=0.66$).
8	Kimura (2016)	RCT	52	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=26)	furosemide (n=26)	Tolvaptan reduced the incidence of worsening renal function (26.9% vs. 57.7%) and elevated serum sodium (140 vs. 142 at day 2, $p=0.004$, 139 vs. 142 at day 3, $p=0.001$, 139 vs. 141 at day 5, $p=0.037$) compared with increased furosemide in acute decompensated heart failure.

9	Hiromi (2020)	RCT	122	tolvaptan + furosemide (n=47)	furosemide (n=75)	Tolvaptan add-on therapy was associated with a lower incidence of worsening renal function (8.5% vs. 24%, p=0.03) in patients with new-onset acute heart failure.
---	---------------	-----	-----	-------------------------------	-------------------	---

Key question 6.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Wong (2003)	RCT	44	Lixivaptan 25 mg bid (n=12), 125 mg bid (n=11), 250 mg bid (n=10)	placebo (n=11)	Lixivaptan appeared effective and safe in appropriate doses in correcting abnormal renal water handling and hyponatremia in conditions associated with water retention.
2	Schrier (2006)	RCT	448	Tolvaptan 15 mg/day (n=225)	placebo (n=223)	In patients with euvolemic or hypervolemic hyponatremia, tolvaptan was effective in increasing serum sodium concentrations at day 4 and day 30.
3	Soupart (2006)	RCT	35	Satavaptan 25 mg/day (n=14), 50 mg/day (n=12)	placebo (n=9)	Satavaptan adequately corrected mild or moderate hyponatremia in patients with SIADH and has a good safety profile.
4	Ghali (2006)	RCT	74	Conivaptan 40 mg/day (n=24), 80 mg/day (n=27)	placebo (n=23)	Oral conivaptan (40 and 80 mg/d) was well tolerated and efficacious for correcting serum [Na ⁺] in hyponatremia.
5	Gheorghide (2006)	RCT	23	Tolvaptan 15-60 mg/day (n=15)	placebo plus fluid restriction (n=8)	Tolvaptan appeared to be more effective than fluid restriction for correcting hyponatremia in hospitalized subjects without an increase in adverse events.
6	Verbalis (2008)	RCT	56	Conivaptan 40 mg/day (n=18), 80 mg/day (n=17)	placebo (n=21)	In hospitalized patients with euvoaemic hyponatraemia, i.v. conivaptan significantly increased serum [Na ⁺] promptly and was well tolerated.
7	Annan (2009)	RCT	83	Conivaptan 40 mg/day (n=27), 80 mg/day (n=26)	placebo (n=30)	Oral conivaptan was effective for increasing serum [Na ⁺] in patients with euvolemic or hypervolemic hyponatremia and had a favorable safety profile
8	Koren (2011)	RCT	49	conivaptan 20 mg once or twice daily (n=40)	placebo (n=9)	Conivaptan hydrochloride 20 mg, administered once or twice daily via 30-minute i.v. infusion, significantly increased serum sodium concentrations over 48 hours in patients with euvolemic or

						hypervolemic hyponatremia when compared with placebo.
9	Abraham ^a (2012)	RCT	206	Lixivaptan 25-100 mg/day (n=154)	placebo (n=52)	Lixivaptan could be safely initiated in an outpatient setting and effectively increased serum sodium concentrations in outpatients with euvolemic hyponatremia.
10	Abraham ^b (2012)	RCT	106	Lixivaptan 25-100 mg/day (n=54)	placebo (n=52)	Lixivaptan safely and effectively corrected serum sodium concentrations in hospitalized patients with euvolemic hyponatremia.
11	Chen (2014)	RCT	37	Tolvaptan 15-60 mg/day (n=19)	placebo (n=18)	Tolvaptan demonstrated superiority to placebo in the treatment of Chinese SIADH patients with hyponatremia by elevating serum sodium concentration with acceptable safety profile.
12	Salahudeen (2014)	RCT	30	Tolvaptan 15-60 mg/day (n=17)	placebo (n=13)	Tolvaptan was effective for correcting hyponatremia in patients with cancer.

Key question 7.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	MacMillan (2018)	Cohort (retrospective)	1450	3 ways to use DDAVP (254): rescue, reactive, proactive	No DDAVP (1196)	We identified 1,450 admissions with severe hyponatremia; DDAVP was administered in 254 (17.5%). Although DDAVP reduced the rate of change of plasma sodium, fewer patients in the DDAVP group achieved safe correction (174 of 251 [69.3%] vs. 970 of 1164 [83.3%]); this result was driven largely by overcorrection occurring before DDAVP administration in the rescue group. Among patients receiving DDAVP, most received it according to a reactive strategy, whereby DDAVP was given after a change in plasma sodium within correction limits (174 of 254 [68.5%]). Suspected osmotic demyelination syndrome was identified in 4 of 1450 admissions (0.28%). There was lower mortality in the DDAVP group (3.9% vs. 9.4%), although this is likely affected by confounding. Length

						of hospital stay was longer in those who received DDAVP according to a proactive strategy.
2	Perianayagam (2008)	Cohort (retrospective)	20	DDAVP use in anticipation of overcorrection (14)	DDAVP use in rescue of overcorrection (6)	Six patients (group 1) were given desmopressin acetate after the 24-h limit of 12 mmol/L had already been reached or exceeded; correction was prevented from exceeding the 48-h limit of 18 mmol/L in five of the six. Fourteen patients (group 2) were given desmopressin acetate in anticipation of overcorrection after the plasma sodium concentration had increased by 1 to 12 mmol/L. In all 14 patients who were treated with desmopressin acetate as a preventive measure, correction was prevented from exceeding either the 24- or 48-h limits. After desmopressin acetate was administered, the plasma sodium concentration of 14 of the 20 patients fell by 2 to 9 mmol/L. In all six group 1 patients and in five of the group 2 patients, the plasma sodium concentration was actively lowered again by the concurrent administration of desmopressin acetate and 5% dextrose in water; no serious adverse consequences from this maneuver were observed.
3	Ward (2018)	Cohort (retrospective)	28	3 ways to use DDAVP (254): rescue, reactive, prophylactic	No DDAVP (12)	Twenty-eight patients were identified, with baseline mean [Na]s of 112.7 ± 6.6 mmol/L versus 117 ± 4.3 mmol/L ($p=0.06$) in those receiving ($n=16$) and not receiving DDAVP ($n=12$), respectively. The DDAVP group had a more rapid [Na]s correction on the first day compared with those not receiving DDAVP, 7.7 ± 3.8 mmol/L/d versus 5.1 ± 2.0 mmol/L/d ($p=0.04$). On the second day, there was a similar rate of [Na]s correction between groups: 1.3 ± 4.3 mmol/L/d versus 2.6 ± 3.2 mmol/L/d ($p=0.39$), respectively. Overall, there was no difference in [Na]s correction after 48 hours between those who received DDAVP and those who did not: 121.7 ± 7.5 mmol/L versus 124.8 ± 5.7 mmol/L ($p=0.24$). Patients who had experienced an overcorrection were

						successfully treated with DDAVP (n=5), so that no patient had an ongoing overcorrection by 48 hours.
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Key question 8.

Not applicable

Key question 9.

No.	Author (year)	Study type	Total (n)	Intervention (n)	Comparison (n)	Study results
1	Friedman (2015)	RCT	110	54	56	Mean serum sodium levels at 24 hours did not differ between the groups (140.5 [2.7] vs. 139.6 [2.7] mEq/L, respectively; 95% CI of the difference, -0.22-2.02mEq/L; p=0.14). Two patients in the hypotonic group developed hyponatremia, 1 in each group developed hypernatremia.
2	McNab (2015)	RCT	641	319	322	Fewer patients given Na140 than those given Na77 developed hyponatremia (12 patients [4%] vs. 35 [11%]; odds ratio [OR] 0.31, 95% CI 0.16–0.61; p=0.001). No patients developed symptomatic hyponatremia. The occurrence of hypernatremia was similar in the two groups: 14 (4%) participants developed hypernatremia in the Na140 group, compared with 18 (6%) in the Na77 group (OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.39–1.65; p=0.55).
3	Ramanathan (2016)	RCT	119	59	60	Nine (15%) children in the isotonic group and 29 (48%) in the hypotonic group developed hyponatremia during the study period, (p<0.001) with a relative risk being 3.16 (95% CI 1.64-6.09). No child in either group developed hypernatremia.
4	Rey (2011)	RCT	125	63	62	After adjusting for age, weight and hyponatremia incidence at admission, patients receiving hypotonic fluids showed increased risk of hyponatremia by 5.8-fold (CI: 2.4–14.0)

						during the study period ($p < 0.001$).
5	Shamin (2014)	RCT	60	30	30	At 24 hours, hyponatremia was noted in 7 (24%) patients in the isotonic and 16 (55%) in hypotonic group ($p = 0.031$). Hypernatremia was noted at 48 hours in 3 IF ($p = 0.27$).
6	Pemde (2015)	RCT	82	28	54	The risk of developing hyponatremia was nearly 6.5 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.6–26) to 8.5 (95% CI 2.16–33.39) times higher in patients who received hypotonic saline compared to those who received isotonic saline. Only one patient who received isotonic saline developed hypernatremia (serum sodium=153 mmol/L) during the study period of 24h.
7	Almeida (2015)	RCT	233	130	103	NaCl 0.9% ($n = 130$): serum Na increased by 2.91 (± 3.9) mmol/L at 24 h ($p < 0.01$); 2% of patients had Na higher than 150 mmol/L. NaCl 0.45% ($n = 103$): serum Na did not display statistically significant changes. Fifteen percent of the patients had Na < 135 mmol/L at 24 h.
8	Balasubramanian (2012)	RCT	84	42	42	The proportion of neonates developing hyponatremia after 8 h was higher in the hypotonic fluid group as compared to the isotonic fluid group (48.8% vs. 10.5%, $p < 0.001$). However, a larger proportion in the isotonic fluid group developed hypernatremia (39.5% vs. 12.2%, $p < 0.001$).
9	Coulthard (2012)	RCT	79	39	40	In the 0.45% saline group, seven patients (18%) became hyponatremic (Na < 135 mmol/l) 16–18 h postoperatively; in the Hartmann's group no patients became hyponatremic ($p = 0.01$). No child in either fluid group became hypernatremic.
10	Choong (2011)	RCT	258	128	130	Hypotonic fluid significantly increased the risk of hyponatremia compared with isotonic fluid (40.8% vs. 22.7%; relative risk: 1.82 [95% confidence interval: 1.21–2.74]; $p = 0.004$). Isotonic fluid did not increase the risk of hypernatremia (relative risk: 1.30 [95% confidence interval: 0.30–5.59]; $p = 0.722$).

11	Kannan (2010)	RCT	114	58	56	We observed that 14.3% (8/56) of the children administered 0.18% saline in 5% dextrose at the standard maintenance rate developed hyponatremia compared with 1.72% of the children in 0.9% saline in 5% dextrose at the standard maintenance rate. There was no significant difference in the incidence of hypernatremia (p=0.59)
12	Mantanana (2008)	RCT	122	59	63	At 24 hours, the percentage of hyponatremia in the hypotonic group was 20.6% as opposed to 5.1% in the isotonic group (p=0.02).
13	Neville (2010)	RCT	62	31	31	There was no difference in the incidence of hyponatremia at 24 hours comparing the groups (p=0.64). Plasma sodium concentration rose by ≥ 5 mmol/L in 7/62 in the NS groups (3/31), but none of the N/2 groups (p=0.05)
14	Torres (2019)	RCT	294	143	151	At 24 hours, 12.4% (n=18) of the isotonic group had developed hyponatremia compared with 46.1% (n=71) of the hypotonic group (p<0.001). No patients developed hypernatremia (serum sodium concentrations >150 mEq/L) or other adverse outcomes.
15	Bagri (2019)	RCT	150	75	75	The incidence of hyponatremia at 24 h of hospitalization was comparable between normal saline and half saline group, 3 (4%) vs. 6 (8%) cases, respectively; p value 0.494. A total of 4 children in the study population developed hypernatremia at 24 h, one in half saline group with a value of 150.1 mEq/L and 3 in normal saline group with highest value of 161 mEq/L.
16	Kumar (2020)	RCT	168	84	84	The incidence of hyponatremia at 24 h in children receiving half normal saline was higher than in those receiving normal saline, the difference was not statistically significant (14.3 vs. 6%; RR 2.6; 95% CI 0.9–7.8; p=0.07). There was no significant difference in the incidence of hypernatremia between two groups (RR 0.7; 95% CI 0.16-3.3).
17	Dathan (2021)	RCT	60	31	29	Three patients in the hypotonic group developed hyponatremia and none in isotonic group at 24 h (RR=0.13; 95% CI=0.007-2.485; p=0.106). Fourteen neonates

						developed hypernatremia in the isotonic group and one in hypotonic group at 24 h (RR=13.09; 95% CI=1.83-93.4; p<0.001).
18	Lehtiranta (2021)	RCT	614	308	306	The occurrence of mild hyponatremia did not differ between children receiving isotonic fluid therapy (7 of 308 patients, 2.3%) and children receiving moderately hypotonic fluid therapy (11 of 306 patients, 3.6%) (difference, -1.3%; 95% CI, -4.3 to 1.5; p=0.33). Hypernatremia developed in 4 patients (1.3%) receiving isotonic fluid therapy and in none of those receiving moderately hypotonic fluid therapy (95% CI of the difference, 0.05%-3.3%; p=0.04).
19	Chromek (2021)	Retrospective consecutive time series intervention study	1453	807	646	Overall, the change from hypotonic to isotonic intravenous maintenance fluid therapy was associated with a decreased prevalence of hyponatremia from 29% to 22% (adjusted OR 0.65 (0.51–0.82)) without a significantly increased odds or hypernatremia (from 3.4% to 4.3%, adjusted OR 1.2 (0.71–2.1)). Hyponatremia <130 mmol/L decreased from 6.2% to 2.6%, and hyponatremia 125 mmol/L decreased from 2.0% to 0.5%.
20	Tuzun (2020)	Cohort (retrospective)	108	51	57	The hypotonic fluid group showed a greater ΔpNa compared to the isotonic group (0.48 ± 0.28 vs. 0.27 ± 0.21 meq/L/h, p=0.001). The risk of experiencing unsafe plasma Na decrease in the hypotonic fluid group ($\Delta pNa > 0.5$ meq/L/h) was higher than the isotonic fluid group (OR: 8.46; 95% CI: 2.3-30.06).
21	Velasco (2018)	Cohort (retrospective)	111	43	68	Among the patients who received hypotonic solutions, 28 (41.2%) developed hyponatremia, which was moderate (Na <130 mEq/Kg) in 11 cases, compared with 8 children (18.6%) who received isotonic solutions, with only one case of moderate hyponatremia (p=0.027). No cases of hypernatremia were recorded.